

November 1, 2020

Kansas Legal Services, Inc.
Grievance 19-04-01654

The Honorable Judge Robert J. Schmisser
Kansas Legal Services Chairperson Board of Grievance Committee

KLS Board Grievance Committee:

Laura M Allen, Office of the Morris County Attorney, Council Grove, Kansas

J. Curtis Brown, Board of Directors Kansas Legal Services, Inc., Hays, Kansas

Melanie DeRousse, Clinical Associate Professor, Director of KU Law's Douglas County Legal Aid Clinic

Angela D. Gupta, Board of Directors Kansas Legal Services, Inc., Associates in Dispute Resolution LLC.

Ellen G. Neufeld, Commissioner Kansas Creative Arts Industries Commission (KCAIC)

Marilyn M. Harp, Executive Director Kansas Legal Services, Inc., Topeka, Kansas

Good day Judge Schmisser:

Thank you for your time and considerations in assisting with this grievance. I will provide to your committee any specific information needed that could assist with investigation of discriminatory concerns, preventable child abuse, and reportable attorney actions. This document will provide information related to some of the many cases in Leavenworth and Johnson county that KLS attorneys and KLS employees are or were involved.

The committee's initial goal could be to identify all KLS involvement and actions related to all cases with me and my son. The information from these cases could represent a discriminatory consistency, document the abuse of Kansas children, and identify sources of abuse of process, malicious prosecution, and harassment directed to me. And, to expose the intentional harm and avoidable endangerment directed toward my son.

For the welfare and benefit of Kansas children, and for the equal access to legal assistance for low income Kansas qualifying persons, the included cases and events must be fully communicated and disseminated to prevent possible or continued discrimination and harm.

This document may not be encompassing enough to identify all the specific facts of my grievance. The inclusion of current cases and peripheral related information will minimize centrality. Effort will be made to write compendiously. Case numbers are redacted for my protection from additional lawfare, abuses, and harassment. This redacted information is referenced in other communications.

It is presumed all recipients listed and receiving this document will have read it in its entirety and comprehend all aspects of the events. These are serious issues and concerns for the State of Kansas and KLS.

Grievance #19-04-01654

These issues and events also reference courts refusing to grant a protection order or residential care for a child to the parent fearing for the child's safety, and tragically, the court knowingly placing the minor in harm's way not protecting the vulnerable child, which has historically caused Kansas children to die. If the family court's actions for child safety was always at the forefront of their decision-making, then many murdered children would be alive today.

Tragically, the lives of Austin and Logan were lost recently in the First Judicial District of which proceeding were in family court. I cannot believe that these precious children's safety was at the forefront of the previous court's proceedings. They tragically died. They woke up that day not knowing they were about to be murdered. I believe someone knew these boys were not safe where the court placed them. Had these boys requested a protection order then denied protection, and their welfare not even checked? Did KLS attorneys have any involvement? Did these children fear even disclosing abuse, and were they forcibly coached to lie to Kansas agencies? Austin and Logan were sadly just two of four children that tragically die every day in America. Who was their only hope before they were killed. These boys had to have known they were not safe at this volatile time in their lives. Someone else had to have known the boys were not safe.

Some low income Kansas citizens need assistance to protect their children. Any discrimination or unscrupulous actions by KLS could have extreme tragic outcomes. Any child harming actions by KLS, courts, attorneys, and Kansas agencies is intolerable.

The issues and proceedings documented here represent likely discriminatory and reproachable concerns for KLS, attorneys, and the State of Kansas.

Outline:

The most recent cases and proceeding in the First and Tenth judicial districts are identified, and some case details are provided. Cases included are for the last three of the previous ten years of proceedings. Discrimination and serious concerns of Kansas child welfare may be extrapolated from the details.

The following topics are documented:

- Original domestic residential custody case and Journal entries Leavenworth district
- Cases in Leavenworth and Johnson County districts
- Leavenworth County protection orders and DCF investigations and events
- Johnson County protection order and temporary change of residential care for my son
- Child abuse
- Protecting Kansas children
- Results of Child Abuse
- Start the Healing from Child Abuse
- Kansas Legal Services questions for grievance
- Documentation for Discrimination, KLS involvement, and KLS policies

Grievance #19-04-01654

- Imputed disqualification and Rule 226.1.10(a) thru Rule 226.1.17
- Remembering child victims that were never helped by an attorney or judge
- Conclusion

Additionally, other issues of concerns are the protection of Kansas children from abuse, attorney violations of Rules of Professional Conduct, violations of Pillars of Professionalism, violations of statutes, and judicial misconduct are included. Questions concerning this grievance, and the required documentation for inquisition are listed. Imputed disqualification is discussed, followed by a short essay, and conclusion.

Original domestic residential custody case and Journal entries Leavenworth district:

The original domestic custody case is LV-2010-DM-FC11 in the First Judicial District Leavenworth County. Almost all custody related filings and proceedings are in that district and court. Over the last ten years I have extensive court filings and proceedings trying unsuccessfully to protect my minor son in the Leavenworth First Judicial District and in the court of Honorable Judge Dan K. Wiley.

The first journal entry and family plan for LV-2010-DM-FC11 is dated August 2011. Detailed information of this first trial will not be included. Terry L. Harris allowed client lying in Judge Wiley's court. My attorney Amy C. Coppola, Crow Associates, was a newly hired inexperienced attorney and was unable to even properly enter evidence at trial. No other attorney from Crow Associates was at trial to help her. The trial results were unfavorable for my son and me. I was unaware of serious judicial issues at that time.

Motion to change residential custody was filed in 2015. My retained attorney Gary L. Fuller abandoned my case one month before trial and was released from representation for me. This abandonment by Mr. Fuller caused harm and I lost all previous financial resources for me to secure representation to protect my son. Judge Wiley allowed the case abandonment and insured that I as a pro se attorney had no chance to protect my son with residential care.

The trial was filled with every possible false evidence, perjury, and lies from the plaintiff's attorneys case against me. My son was coached for his forced false reporting to produce massive indirect perjury and perjured testimonies. Illegal child coaching was ignored by Judge Wiley.

The last evidentiary hearings for LV-2010-DM-FC11 ended October 3, 2016, and the invalid journal entry is dated October 20, 2016, which is barely 15 days later. Additionally, the Certificate of Service which was not filed timely by plaintiff's attorney James P. Colgan, is dated November 8, 2016. So, Judge Wiley allowed James Colgan to write anything he wanted on the journal entry, not follow Rule 170, late file Certificate of Service, and have the hearing to enter the invalid journal entry without the presence of the respondent attorney Pro Se. The journal entry does state that "Respondent appears Pro Se." I was not invited. I did not sign it.

The second invalid journal entry was written after October 3, 2016 by James P. Colgan. The entry was written without any "reasonable effort to confer" by Mr. Colgan, or review by me. He had absolutely no contact with me to review and modify. Somehow Rule 170 did not get followed to

Grievance #19-04-01654

notified me of the content of the journal entry, Certificate of Service was late filed, and I was not included in notification or participation of the hearing for his journal entry October 20, 2016. Sections of the journal entry are not supported by Kansas statutes.

I objected to the invalid journal entry at a hearing for Motion for Change of Residential Custody on August 18, 2018 in Judge Wiley's court. I argued that Rule 170 and Certificate of Service was not followed, and I did not even receive a draft of the journal entry prior to October 20, 2016, nor any notification of the October 20, 2016 hearing for signature.

Judge Wiley stated Rule 170 and Certificate of Service was followed and everything was filed properly online, and that I could now go to the court clerk or online computer filings and get a copy. I do not have an electronic filing account, and probably not even have gotten an account following Rule 5.4.2. James Colgan wrote anything he wanted on his invalid journal entry, not following anything of Rule 170, and filed the Rule 5.4.9 Certificate of Service two weeks after the hearing that I was not invited to attend.

The journal entry is not valid and is pure obstruction to justice, and has allowed the continued abuse of my native minor son by the actions of the courts and attorneys. The written journal entry sections are unsupported by Kansas statutes. Judge Dan K. Wiley allowed every possible judicial obstruction to be written by Mr. Colgan on the journal entry without my review for changes or signature. The purpose of the obstructions are to perpetuate the child abuse of my son in his current residential care, by preventing the father's ability to protect his son from this avoidable child endangerment.

Clinton Lee was appointed for the second custody evaluation ordered by Judge Wiley for his obstruction and to allow continued child abuse and lawfare against me. Clinton Lee was inept and spoke with me for only thirty minutes. The issues of child abuse and the mother's child coaching for false police, CASA, DCF, and District Attorney reporting was identified and verified for him. He ignored the child abuse of my son. My son even asked that I write a letter to Clinton Lee, Gary Fuller, CASA, and The Guidance Center Therapist to make his request for residential care with his father known to everyone and the court. My son did not want to return to his mother's residential care.

Before the second trial, my son asked me if there was anything I could do to allow him to stay with me. I then spoke with my son and told him I could write a letter for him if he would personally give a copy of the letter to each recipient and state his desire for residential care. My son and I went to Clinton Lee, Gary Fuller, CASA, and The Guidance Center Therapist for my son to hand deliver the letter to each of them and state his preference. No one helped my son, nobody cares. And, I presume Clinton Lee ignored the child coaching and believed the lies that my son was forced to make by his mother. Clinton Lee is inept or corrupt and was doing what Judge Wiley wanted, and he ignored my son's abuse and preference to live with his father.

Auxiliary information should included the abandonment of counsel one month before trial by Gary L. Fuller to cause extreme negative material adverse effect on the interests of my son and myself. No reasonable steps were taken to mitigate the consequences of my son being retained in his abusive residential care. (Rule 226 1.16) Mr. Fuller's gave no reason for abandoning my son and I,

Grievance #19-04-01654

except a "conflict of interest." The suggestion for motion for recusal was not grounds to abandon this case for my son's protection from abuse. My suggestion of a course of action was not a conflict of interest. One could believe Mr. Fuller's conflict of interest was that Judge Wiley wants my son's residential care to remain with the judge's cousin's friend, the mother.

The consequences of Mr. Fuller's withdraw could not be mitigated. All financial resource were exhausted with Mr. Fuller's payments for services. One month to secure counsel and resources for trial was impossible. Additionally, no Leavenworth attorneys wanted to help me and my son. No attorneys wanted to represent me in Judge Wiley's court for this case. Fear of retaliation by Judge Wiley may be the reason. One honest Leavenworth attorney actually stated these facts to me - he would not be in Judge Wiley's court with me.

Gary L. Fuller's abandonment of representation of my case, one month before trial after depleting my financial resources in his war of attrition, made it possible that James P. Colgan was guaranteed to "run" over me during the trail and not allow me to protect my son. There was no chance to mitigate the substantial material adverse effect and the damages from the avoidable endangerment of my son.

James P. Colgan's reproachable and illegal child abusing actions in court could have been thwarted. Child coaching was initiated and supported by Mr. Colgan to force my son to false report to Amanda Peterman Therapy who was paid to testify against me with the subordination of perjury and perjury.

Cases in Leavenworth and Johnson County districts:

Recent cases possibly represent the continued pattern of KLS and the courts discrimination against a senior single father and his native son in Kansas courts. And the possible continued judicial and KLS assisted child abuse of my son, caused by the continuous assaulting false accusations and lawfare proceedings in the courts. These lawfare crimes and discriminations are directed against a Kansas senior single father of whom receives, and is a victim to, near continuous harassments.

Previous "hate" messages to the father communicated the intended lawfare in advance by threatening messages from sources identified as the FirstCity Org, Leavenworth Attorney Association, DCF, and a Leavenworth judge.

Two concerning messages were sent to me four days after my father's funeral in 2018, referencing my father by name. The messages were sent after my son was denied visitation with his father by Judge Wiley to allow my son to attend his grandfather's funeral. That is amoral.

Another threatening message states "... it will get very expensive, for you that is. You could mortgage your home. Just an idea. See you in court soon. As you know, we lawyers know how to drag these things out, and we will. Better get a job *****." and this message ended with a profane name. These are just two examples of the many threats I receive.

These two threatening messages came from a T-Mobile phone, and some messages were sent from the Leavenworth city and police compute server, traced by IPv4 addresses. This is threatening me

Grievance #19-04-01654

of lawfare and war of attrition, referenced as being written by attorneys and a judge. And, I think I have been dragged through a lot of courts. Some messages are traced to the Leavenworth FirstCity.org computer, and are too vulgar to even read or print. The entirety of the some messages are beyond gross and profane, coming from the Leavenworth city computer server. Unbelievable and illegal originating from the Leavenworth courthouse.

Leavenworth County protection orders and DCF investigation events:

Additional cases and proceedings in the First Judicial district are the insane high number of police reports and continuous protection orders, possibly written by KLS. And now I am being harassed by three criminal cases derived from hearsay emails. The evidence includes one authenticated false email account created with the Leavenworth FirstCity.org computer server, which the police use for emails. Numerous threatening messages are sent to me from the Leavenworth FirstCity.org computer server traced by IPv4, and T-Mobile/Sprint phones traced by IPv6 address. Unfortunately, the police will not contact T-Mobile to find the real suspects in control of the device sending the emails, they have blamed the hearsay on me even though they could find the real suspect. They do not want to find the criminal, they want to "railroad" me.

The following cases are probably the most useful for the committee to review. This information will be for recent cases, filings, and proceedings in Leavenworth, and is followed by summarized cases with proceedings in Johnson county.

Leavenworth District *case 2018-DM-PO22 is the protection order from the false police reporting by my son's mother, with my son, and with Judge Dan K. Wiley's cousin present in the police interview room.* Case LVPD-18-2222 police video shows my son when he was coached in the Leavenworth police station and Justice Center. I subpoenaed police cam and records. This case allowed a temporary protection order against me for my son, which remained for over a year from case continuance, and was to prevent visitation of my son with his father, The temporary order filed June 6, 2018 was dismissed August 2019. The judge continued the temporary protection case for over a year, but did allow visitation. This put me at criminal risk.

Every year I can expect a protection order in June to stop my summer visitation with my son. Judge Wiley and the police allow the crimes, child abuse, the resulting indirect perjury, lawfare, abuse of position, and abuse of process. KLS attorneys are very likely participants

Protection order case LV-2018-DM-PO44 was caused by the failure of the Johnson County Sunflower House forensic child interview. The interview was conducted by Det. Scott Bassett, case JOCO-2016-SUNH44. He admitted the failure of his child forensic interview procedures on a recorded phone line, and of the child being coached by his mother who was standing behind Det. Bassett during the interview. After he admitted the child interview failure, he said he was not going to allow criminal child coaching, and would conduct an investigation to include a lie detector test. He sounded upset the first time we spoke, because he had incompetently did his job and participated in this child abuse and illegal activity.

When I called Det. Bassett a month later, he reneged fixing his failed interview, because he was "too busy" and this was "Leavenworth's problem." I reminded him that he was a participant in this

Grievance #19-04-01654

crime and is allowing child abuse. Additionally, I told Det. Bassett that I would be at risk in the future of prosecution by his failed child forensics interview, which did happen a year later with case LV-2018-DM-PO44. Det. Bassett then abruptly ended our conversation. "Mister, our conversation is over." he said, and ended the call.

A year after the failed Sunflower House child forensic interview, April 24, 2016, the DCF finally investigated Det. Bassett's failed child forensic interview, case JOCO-2016-SUNH44, and then the DCF filed an abuse case on me, case DCF Event #4444, and so did Leavenworth District with case LV-2018-DM-PO44. I again contacted Johnson County Sunflower House to correct the forensic child interview. Detective Barbara Walk spoke with me about the failed interview and stated that she had discussed this failed interview case with her subordinate Detective Scott Bassett, but she declined to do or correct anything to stop the child abuse by protecting and helping my son. And, failed to assist in my defense for the cases brought against me by their incompetence or corruption.

The Sunflower House failed child forensics interview video should show exactly what my son told me did happen, that the mother stood behind Det. Bassett and coached my son. "Daddy, I had to say what I was told to say, because mom was standing behind him (Det. Bassett) in the doorway and made faces at me if I did not say exactly what she wanted me to say."

Both the District and DCF cases were dismissed, but no one ever even bothered to help my son, stop the child coaching, or to even check if he was safe and if he wanted to live with his father. Both my son and I got abused by police, DCF, courts, KLS attorneys, and non KLS attorneys.

The DCF has filed eight investigation cases to harass me. Leavenworth County has filed eight protection orders and three criminal cases to harass me. The police have filed forty cases in just a two year period with me listed as person of interest, suspect, or other - and I do not even live in Leavenworth. All protection order and DCF cases were dismissed or unsubstantiated. My son's mother previously worked for the Leavenworth DCF, and I believe her friends work with the police and KLS. This is intentional harm directed to me and my son, and these actions are illegal and allowing child abuse.

Case LV-2018-DM-PO33 is the protection order that initiated the criminal charges in Leavenworth for case LV-2018-CR-FE33. These cases involve fake email accounts, fake hearsay emails, and the District Attorney Todd G. Thompson illegally withholding exculpatory evidence, which would have found the real suspects, and would exonerate me, the defendant. The Leavenworth police Detective Tosh R. St John did NOT want to find the criminals. They have the IPv6 of the phones that sent the emails and refused to assist in securing the evidentiary data of the device in control to determine the real suspects. The DA Todd did NOT want to find the criminal suspects, because authenticated evidence also shows threats to the defendant, and the creation of at least one fake email account from the FirstCity org computer server, which the police and mayor use for emails.

The criminal threatening messages created in the Leavenworth Justice Center, the unwillingness to use existing IPv6 cell phone evidence to find a real suspect in the criminal cases, lawfare, harassment directed to me, and the police, DCF, attorneys, and CASA allowed child coaching,

Grievance #19-04-01654

intuitively and clearly shows intended harm to me and my minor son from the Leavenworth city and district.

Judge Wiley is not going to stop the abuse of my son, and the obstruction of justice in proceedings. I believe he directed The Honorable Judge Keven M. P. O'Grady in Johnson County to contribute to the child abuse of my son by Judge O'Grady's instant dismissal of my son's protection order Case JOCO-2019-CV-PO55. Additionally, Judge O'Grady denied granting continuance to allow the father and native minor son access to legal representation. KLS had previously denied legal assistance and help for an abused Kansas native minor child and his senior father. Judge O'Grady, I believe, was told by Judge Wiley or KLS personnel to dismiss the case and allow the continuation of child abuse - which he did.

This is just some of the illegal, likely discriminatory, and plotting prejudice toward myself and my minor son, many of which KLS may have participated. All because of Judge Wiley's actions for the benefit of himself, favored attorneys, my son's mother, and his cousins. Of which, his cousins are the friends, neighbor, and landlord of my son's mother for over twenty years. And, his cousins filed false police reports against me, participated with my son's mother to coach a minor child and allow false reports in the police station against me, and they are a past legal client of Judge Wiley.

The courts actions have also appeared to be vindictive, retaliatory, and lawfare against me and my native son. Judge Wiley, Judge O'Grady, police, and attorneys will not protect my son from abuse. Statutes, Code of Ethics, Rules of Professional Conduct, and Canons are blatantly ignored or violated.

Johnson County protection order and temporary change of residential care for my son:

Case JOCO-2019-CV-PO55 in the Tenth Judicial District of Johnson County is the civil case for the protection order that my son requested I file for him on August 2, 2019.

On August 1, 2019. I spoke with my minor son before any action was taken for filing a protection order. I told him of the seriousness of our actions with the court, and spoke with him about why he wanted the protection order. My son has for many years communicated the child abuse he experiences. My son has wanted to have residential care with his father since he was five years old to STOP THE CHILD ABUSE in his mother's residential custody.

I told him I would need to file a family plan and asked him what visitation he wanted with his mother. What would one think he wanted for visitation? My son absolutely wanted me to file the protection order for his safety and welfare, of which KSL attempted to prevent and may have participated in the continuation of the child abuse with the actions of Judge O'Grady.

At the Johnson County courthouse three women continually gave me legal advice and did everything they could to prevent me from protecting my son from child abuse. Finally, they stated they would not help my son and I because of a "conflict of interest". They told me to leave and if I wanted to file the protection order, then I could figure out how to file it by myself. They would not help an abused child and his father. These women implied they were KLS attorneys or employees.

Grievance #19-04-01654

The existing cases in Leavenworth were mentioned when KLS denied my son and I access to any help and assistance from anyone in Johnson County at that time.

No one ever even asked about my son's safety and welfare. No one cared, no one asked. **NO ONE APPEARS TO CARE ABOUT A KANSAS ABUSED CHILD** - it was about others bias, prejudice, and interest - or "conflict of interest" - not a Kansas minor child's welfare and safety.

I filed the protection order for my minor son on August 2, 2020, and at the hearing I motioned for continuance on August 14, 2019 for access to legal counsel for my Kansas minor native son, and it was instantly denied by Judge O'Grady, even after we were previously denied assistance and representation for an abused Kansas minor child by Kansas Legal Services. KLS did not want to help an abused minor native child and his senior father. No one cared and no one made any effort to check the welfare or safety of my son.

Still to this day over a year later and NO ONE CARES to check the safety and welfare of my son and get him to safety and then ask about the reported child abuse and if he feels safe.

The transcript for case JOCO-2019-CV-PO55 will identify the five trial exhibits of blatant child abuse that Judge O'Grady completely ignored, and that he did not even consider any of the facts and evidence, before he quickly dismissed the child's protection order. The written motion to dismiss by the defendant, that was possibly written by KLS, contained mistruths and inaccuracies.

Judge O'Grady at some point during the short trial **realized that this is serious child abuse to my son** and he asked me if anyone had heard this evidence. I said "No." Judge Wiley denied hearing my previous filed motions which contained the atrocious child abuse and my son's fear and his prayers for help. (Rule 2.6(A)) Judge O'Grady quickly dismissed the protection order after he heard and read the authenticated email and text message evidence of abuse and of my son's fear.

My son and I could not get help from Judge O'Grady or KLS to stop this child abuse. After the protection order for my son was dismissed, his mother soon after took my son's phone and it was never to be returned to him. All phone, email, and text messaging with his father ended.

Judge O'Grady essentially created an abused fatherless Kansas native minor child, and instantly initiated and allowed additional child abuse.

What did Judge O'Grady expect? The minor child emailed and texted his father of the abuse he is experiencing, and his mother takes the child's phone. Judge O'Grady helped the mother so she could take the child's phone and then the child abuse could continue. My son stated that his mother would not allow him to have his phone from his father because "The phone is evil and has demons in it." This is scary. What is preventing my son's safety?

Everyone involved in the continuation of child abuse in Kansas needs to be identified, especially KLS involvement.

Grievance #19-04-01654

My son has not yet, as of today a year later, been asked if he is safe, and why he requested his father to file a protection order for his safety on August 2, 2019, that Judge Keven M. P. O'Grady instantly dismissed with probable KLS assistance to allow continued child abuse.

Case JOCO-2019-CV-CU55 is the failed attempt for a judicial in camera interview and temporary change of residential care to protect my son. ***These cases would have allowed Judge Keven M. P. O'Grady to instantly stop the child abuse and child coaching, and allow the father to protect his son. But this did not happen and my son was not protected by Judge O'Grady and is still abused.***

Honorable Judge Janette Sheldon graciously assisted me with directions to file change of venue through a registration of foreign decree, and Certificate of Service. To insure compliance with Certificate of Service, Judge Sheldon required that I file it twice. Honorable Retired Senator Timothy Owens generously assisted me with directions to file a judicial in camera interview with a Kansas teenager. A modified family plan was filed.

All the plaintiff's motions for his son's welfare were instantly denied by Judge Keven M. P. O'Grady, and he did not even bother to hear the motion for an in camera interview, it was completely ignored. UCCJEA would allow jurisdiction for the motions and modifications "whether or not by a court of previous determination." K.S.A 38-141(b),(d) "children have rights to protection from abuse and neglect." K.S.A. 38-2262 allows testimony of children, which Judge O'Grady adamantly did not want to have with my son to hear the truth and stop the coaching and child abuse.

A Kansas minor child's safety and welfare was ignored, and still is ignored. The "best interest of minor Kansas child" was not even considered by Judge O'Grady. What would AFCC and American Inns of Court think of his actions. How will he make any Senate testimony in the future against legislation for father's rights? How did the courts "best serves the child's needs", and "focus on the child", and "They simply want to do right by the child"? This is reproachable and scary.

This is more child abuse allowed by both district Judge O'Grady and Judge Wiley. I have no idea of the amount of child abuse my son got for disclosing his mother's abuse with his phone text messages and emails to his father and the courts.

But, my son stated he is now just going to keep the abuse to himself. His father failed to protect him again, and has failed for the last ten years, after he had trusted his father and disclosed the abuse he is experiencing. And, his disclosure just caused more abuse for him because of the judges. Abused children figure this out pretty fast and do not disclose the abuse, it does not help the children, they just get more abuse. What does the State of Kansas expect if we cannot protect our children?

Child abuse:

As previously stated, no one has ever even bothered to check if my son is safe and protected, and if someone checks his welfare, my son better be protected from child coaching and feel safe to talk. Not many really care about Kansas children, or more would actually be done to help them.

Child abuse is child abuse - period. Failed Sunflower House forensic child interviews can never be acceptable - period. Child coaching by parents and attorneys is child abuse and can never be acceptable - period. Obstruction by judges that hurts children or allows continued child abuse and increases the risk of their harm for any reason, such as profit for attorney friends and children's organizations (The Layne Project), or favors, or bias, prejudice, interest, and lawfare, cannot be acceptable or tolerated, it is judicial child abuse - period. Coaching for the child to false report and lie in police stations is child abuse and cannot be tolerated - period. The Layne Project knowing of child abuse and participating in obstruction and lawfare by doing nothing cannot be acceptable - period. ALL OF THIS IS CHILD ABUSE - PERIOD.

There is no difference between a pedophile clergy or Boy Scout leader abusing children and a judge or attorney. The judges, clergy, and scout leaders are not irreproachable or unrepachable. ***All forms of child abuse are irreversible and permanent.*** This is why some fathers and grandparents continuously file in the courts for years, because the child abuse will continue without someone helping them. We will not stop because our children are not safe - even when I am prosecuted with "railroaded" criminal charges and threatened with prison in Leavenworth.

I am horrified that an abused Kansas native minor child can be continually placed back into abusive residential care by Judge Wiley and Judge O'Grady. The minor child is threatened by having his toys and belonging gathered up in a trash bag to be burned, his LEGO toys smashed with a hammer, and he continues to be threatened, all of which both judges know was and is still happening from the previous court evidence and testimonies. Judge Wiley was shown evidence of the smashed LEGO mini figure toy years ago. LEGO smashing and threats with hammers is evidently acceptable by Judge Wiley and Judge O'Grady. My son is constantly threatened by his mother as stated in his authenticated emails and test messages presented as just one of the many exhibits of evidence proving child abuse in multiple courts.

My son has moved all his LEGO toys and favored items to his father's residence for protection, because Judge Wiley and Judge O'Grady would not protect him. Both judges were presented evidence of the abuse and of my son's fear. Judge Wiley even wrote that the father expressed no concern of the horrifying text messages and emails sent by his son describing his fear and the abuse.

Seriously, he wrote that crap. The child asked the father to pray for him because of the abuse and his fear, but also asked the father not to contact him, so his mother would not know that he had any contact with his father, because it would cause more child abuse from his mother.

Somehow we are to believe the father, me, was unconcerned. I tried in every Leavenworth domestic court that I was dragged into to protect my son with a motion for at least temporary residential custody from any Kansas district judge. These motions to other courts was to inform as

Grievance #19-04-01654

many judges as possible of the abuse for the hope that my son might be helped. Because, as he did, Judge Wiley will deny all my motions - every last one of them. Every possible contempt is allowed by Judge Wiley's cousin's friend, neighbor, and landlord, who is my son's mother.

What a nightmare for a Kansas child. Judge Wiley and Judge O'Grady let my son's LEGO toys be smashed, and no one really knows what toys did get smashed or gathered and burned. The child was threatened to sleep outside in the winter, to be sent to a foster home, to be arrested by police, and is constantly threatened in many ways. His mother is likely to have cluster B disorders of borderline and narcissism. I fear revenge or altruistic maternal filicide, or extended suicide is possible as a worst case scenario. Change of residential care for my son and potential depression of the mother significantly elevates the risk of child harm, as does the previous history of child abuse.

A court refusing to grant a protection order to a parent or grandparent fearing for a child's safety, and tragically, the court knowingly placing the minor in harm's way and not protecting vulnerable children at this volatile time in their lives, causes Kansas children to die. If family courts across the country were held accountable, and the court's actions for child safety was at the forefront of their decision-making, then many murdered children would be alive today.

What hope does a Kansas minor child have? If the abuse is beyond their tolerance and they are old enough to try to survive, then they would runaway. Kansas would hunt them down and put them right back in the abuse, because the catch the Kansas minor runaway child count is tracked and displayed on the internet. Why, to show improvement? Other children probably got depressed and maybe self harmed or used drugs. This does happen with the judge's and attorney's help.

To propagate child abuse, Judge O'Grady put my son immediately back into the abusive residential care of his mother. I cannot imagine the horror of my son when again he thought he was protected by the State of Kansas, and then his mother shows up at school instead of his father, and he instantly knew he was going to get double the abuse. I cannot imagine how he felt when he wrote the emails and text messages to his father in fear and with hope for help by the Kansas judges.

Then, the hope he felt to have his father present his cry for help to Judge Wiley and Judge O'Grady in courts. Then, again the horror for my son to get more abuse after his mother heard the evidence in courts, and she retained residential custody. Both judges propagated child abuse, and it is still going on - they don't care - period. Again, I am sure they will have lots of answers, written interesting things, unverified statements, and personal attacks. I care about my son, not their responses - they allow child abuse.

Protecting Kansas children:

Where and when can my son be protected from the coaching by his mother, attorneys, and possibly with KLS insidious discriminatory and abusive involvement? ***He does not feel safe to talk about abuse any more, no one has yet been able to protect and help him.*** The DCF will not help my son, and neither will Judge O'Grady, Judge Wiley, the police, District Attorney, Sunflower House, The Layne Project, CASA, and KLS attorneys, and non KLS attorneys. And add to the list The Guidance Center therapists, and Amanda Peterman Therapy who personally assisted in child coaching and allowed the continued child abuse with her perjured court testimony.

Grievance #19-04-01654

Now, with the help of the Judge O'Grady, Judge Wiley, the courts, KLS, and many attorneys, my son had his phone taken from him as soon as his protection order was dismissed by Judge O'Grady. What did Judge O'Grady expect? Of course the phone got taken from my son! Isolation for the continued abuse.

He is not allowed by his mother to have any contact with his father by phone, text, or email. My son has moved all of his LEGO and valuable toys and property to his bedroom at his father's residence for safety. Hoping he will get to live with his father immediately.

No one knows the actual horrors he experiences. For over two years my son has not played with his toy LEGO. Judge Wiley stopped all the joy my son had with his LEGO. Judge Wiley and Judge O'Grady still allows LEGO toy smashing, threats with a hammer, and still to this day obstructs my son's protection with the continuous denial of any and all my motions including my motions for residential care of my son. Judge Wiley's latest judicial obstruction is case management with Trina A. Nudson and The Layne Project for his war of attrition directed to me. I objected to case management, he instantly denied. Trina A. Nudson was made aware of the child abuse by multiple emails and did nothing except ask for a credit card to pay for the new house.

To make it worse, my son and I were constantly denied visitation. And now, the mother just completely stopped the visitations in February 2020 because of Covid-19 concerns. After ten years of monitored exchanges at CASA, the mother has threatened suing CASA, and we now do not have CASA exchanges. The next time my son got to visit his father was June 2020. My son has not seen me since August 2020.

I do not know when my son and I will get any visitation, because Leavenworth has harassed me with eight protection orders, and the Leavenworth police have forty cases in just two years involving me, and the DA Todd still has the "railroaded" criminal cases on me from hearsay emails. I do not even live in Leavenworth. There is no way for any contact between myself and my son's mother. The Leavenworth judge, police, DA, and attorneys have every intention of lawfare. I have no choice and must wait until my son just shows up at our residence.

This is extreme child abuse. Can and will anyone stop child abuse in Kansas?

CASA just suddenly denied the minor child exchanges for visitation with his father after ten years. *For the entire ten years CASA has not helped to protect my son.* It is and has been a wasted resource, because they have done nothing to help my son. Exchanges for ten years is humiliating for my son. After the first five years of exchanges, can't someone figure this out that this is unhealthy for a child? CASA was just used by the mother so she never had to drive outside of Leavenworth for exchanges. CASA even claims they are not mandatory reporters, in fact in the State of Kansas they are NOT a mandatory reporter. Even the off duty police that work there fail to mandatory report the battery, not assault as the off duty police woman corrected me, when I told her for the third time of the battery of my son in his mother's residential care.

The CASA worker documents the child coaching statements of my son, and then CASA would report the coached lies and indirect perjury to the court. Of course, it would not matter anyway,

Grievance #19-04-01654

Judge Wiley does not care, he can do anything he wants, including allow child abuse. He does not care. Kansas voters cannot remove any district judge without first changing four words in K.S.A. 20-2908. My son's case is not an isolated proceedings. Other child abuse cases similar to my son's can be identified. What happened to allow the deaths of Austin and Logan?

Results of Child Abuse:

Much emotional and physical damage to my son has already been done by Judge Wiley, Judge O'Grady, attorneys, and everyone who assisted in allowing this continued child abuse. It will take years of therapy for my son, which I will get for him, to recover and understand the abuse allowed and maintained by the State of Kansas with the very likely help of KLS for over ten years. That is if my son survives. My son "walks on eggshells" every day hoping he won't get more abuse, but knowing he will. I thought and hoped that a fourteen year old teenager was safe from mortal harm by his parent, but it happened in Leavenworth.

My son is of age that he realizes what has been done to allow this abuse. That the courts allow lying, perjury, contempt, and cannot stop the coaching by his mother and prevent his lying to police and agencies. That his coached lies caused him to remain in his abusive residential care. He knows. Now we do not even get to see each because of the allowed, condoned, and perpetuated child abuse of my son, and the parental alienation of his father, all sponsored by Judge O'Grady and Judge Wiley.

The dignity and reputation of judges and attorneys must be worth more than just the benefits of their reproachable actions that causes child harm, and their bias, prejudice, discrimination, and lawfare against fathers and grandparents.

Other child abuse cases may include the previous Wichita mayor's grandchild, recent KCK child deaths of Olivia and Adrian, the horrid Wellington death of Caleb, the case of Jayla Haag, and the many other cases of child harm and death. What a tragedy, and some people don't care, they really do not care. Many of these cases involve Cluster B mental disorders not identified of parents, judges, attorneys, and others involved, and the children not protected from the abuse, harm, and insanity. And then the abused children end up tragically dead.

What would the Kansas parents and grandparents of the many killed children and grandchildren do differently today if they had another chance to save the children? Would the ex-mayor stay in legal proceedings for ten years, even with attrition lawfare? What would the father of Caleb do differently if he had another chance? Why didn't the State of Kansas help? What are the obstructions that prevented saving their children? Why did Austin and Logan get murdered?

Start the Healing from Child Abuse:

Any Kansas district judge's signature on a temporary order would, and will, start to end my legal proceedings of continually trying to protect my son in courts. When my son is safe, then he will talk and communicate what he is experiencing. Otherwise, he will say whatever he is coached to say to prevent more abuse. My son apologizes to me for what he says when he is coached to false report to police, CASA, DCF, Sunflower House, Amanda Peterman, and KLS and non KLS attorneys.

Grievance #19-04-01654

My son said he is forced by threats to false report exactly what they tell him to say. "Daddy, I have to say what they tell me to say. I am threatened. I am sorry." My response is for my son is to say whatever he thinks he needs to say to the police, or anyone, if he feels threatened and that is what he thinks he needs to do. He does not need to apologize to me, he is a child. I told my son to say anything he needs to say to be safe. That I will handle whatever happens from the coaching. "Son, I just want you to be safe." I continually apologize to my son for not being able to protect him as his father.

Eight DCF investigations on me and the DCF cannot see the child coaching and abuse. *I don't believe that, the DCF is deliberate in their actions.* And, my son's mother previously worked for the Leavenworth DCF. The DCF is a disaster and some of the children that entered in their care are near homeless. I have seen abuse of process by the DCF. The Sunflower House is worthless, they do not even show competence or follow procedures for forensic interviews of children. And, The Layne Project is worthless, obstruction, and allows child abuse. A child is saved only if Trina A. Nudson gets paid. CINC would be just another obstruction and would be more abuse to my son. CINC is not necessary if Kansas judges would do what is needed immediately when they have the opportunity to protect children. Judge Keven O'Grady could have instantly protected my son without anyone else involved to end this child abuse, and stop the atrocious waste of resources and this useless expense for Kansas. Instead of help for my son, we just get hostility and invidious discrimination from courts, DCF, and KLS attorneys.

At this time all my motions are denied by Judge Dan Wiley and by Judge Keven O'Grady. Even the motion for visitation for my son to attend his grandfather's funeral was denied by Judge Wiley. In fact, I was detained for four hours by Leavenworth police, and almost arrested the evening before my father's funeral which was near Wichita, Kansas. What inhumanity would not allow a child to go to his grandfather's funeral? This is just one more judicial abuse of my son and of his father's family. Four days after the funeral I even received a form of threatening messages similar to other threats, and the message content referenced my deceased father. This was after my son had been denied visitation to attend his grandfather's funeral by Judge Wiley and my son's mother. This is deliberate vindictive actions of hatred towards me and my native minor son.

Judge Keven M. P. O'Grady has now caused another year of child abuse. But he does not care. If the district judges don't care, then they just prorogate child abuse, which is being done. More Kansas children will not be saved.

What is the difference between child abusing judges, clergy, or Boy Scout leaders? No difference. Father Oliver O'Grady and Judge Keven M. P. O'Grady would not be evaluated differently. Child abuse is defined the same for everyone.

Kansas Legal Services questions for grievance:

1. How did and can this child abuse be allowed in Kansas? How can this type of child abuse in the Kansas courts be stopped and then prevented in the future?
2. How can my son be instantly protected from the immediate HIGH RISK of child harm, when his residential care is changed to his father to stop the abuse; without allowing the possibility of extreme behavior of his mother and hurting him more? How can this legal nightmare be resolved without putting my son in high risk of physical harm with his mother?
3. What was and is KLS attorneys role in allowing continued child abuse in Leavenworth and Johnson county?
4. What, if any, invidious discrimination occurred against me and my native minor son? How do we insure KLS attorneys and judges do not participate in child abuse, follow ethical code of conduct and Canons, and try to be beyond reproach? And, even better that they report unethical conduct and violations of Canons, as required by Rule 2.15.
5. Why is Judge Keven M. P. O'Grady even hearing any cases that have KLS involvement? This has created concerns for violating Canon Rules 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and of his bias, prejudice, and interest, including reproach. This is just an opinion, would not the judicial professionals want to follow the Canons, including Rules 2.9, 2.15. The family courts already suffer from a bad reputation, and now some family courts engender child abuse and child deaths.

Documentation for Discrimination, KLS involvement, and KLS policies:

1. What is the complete and detailed imputed conflict of interest for KLS with me and my son? What KLS attorneys had knowledge of material and confidential information of cases for disqualification? Was this knowledge of the attorneys presumed? Who made the decision that the knowledge was material? Who determined the specifics of the disqualification?
2. What are all the cases since 2010 that KLS attorneys and personnel have assisted in any way involving me and my son?
3. What is KLS involvement with protection orders and criminal cases in Leavenworth and Johnson county? Who are the KLS attorneys that are involved in these districts?
4. What is the KLS role and involvement with the police, district attorneys, DCF, CASA, Sunflower House, and The Layne Project?
5. Who were the three women that continually gave me legal advice and did everything they could to prevent me from protecting my son in the Johnson County courthouse on August 2, 2019? Please identify all individuals involved and especially the those employed with KLS. These names are required for review of their actions.
6. What are the relationships between Judge O'Grady, Judge Wiley, and KLS attorneys?

Grievance #19-04-01654

7. Did KLS attorneys at anytime talk to Judge O'Grady or Judge Wiley about cases involving me and my son? What role does Judge O'Grady have with KLS, because he is on the KSL website and in videos?

8. Who are all of the Leavenworth, Kansas City Kansas, and Johnson County KLS affiliated attorneys and employees? Please identifying all of them. The complete list will allow our reference to additional cases and to research discrimination.

9. Who are all of the imputed involved KLS attorneys and employees? This list will help the committee and myself to determine attorneys role in possible discrimination, and identify any reportable actions.

10. What are KLS policies and procedures for imputation of conflict of interest? What determines the substantial risk that possible confidential information could be used with material adverse effect on a former client?

11. Who makes the decision that disqualification is not rebuttable, and to deny a low income qualifying Kansas applicant service? What are the KLS internal structural divisions for screening and the confidential walls to allow fair equal access for qualified low income applicants to Kansas benefits?

12. How is the significant risk and conflict of interest disqualification communicated to the denied applicant and for insuring no discrimination? What are KLS policies and procedures? Who is procedurally responsible for insuring no discrimination of applicants, and communicating to applicants the specifics of their denied access to legal assistance or representation?

13. What KLS policies prevent invidious discrimination in the selection of applicants to receive Kansas grant and donated resources for legal assistance? How is equal access insured?

14. Are any of the following persons affiliated in any way with KLS:

Terry L. Harris	Leavenworth, Kansas
Gary L. Fuller	Leavenworth, Kansas
Clinton W. Lee	Lansing, Kansas
James P. Colgan	Kansas City, Kansas
Ann K. Ewalt Cogan	Kansas City, Kansas
Trina A. Nudson	The Layne Project, Olathe, Kansas
Amanda Peterman	Amanda Peterman Therapy, Shawnee, Kansas
Catalina M. Thompson	Lansing, Kansas
Angela M. McClure	Leavenworth, Kansas

15. What is the statistical data of the Kansas demographic characteristics and qualities of individuals that were assisted by KLS for previous years? What are the characteristics of those helped with protection orders? This may identify discriminatory concerns.

16. What screening process exists in KLS to permit reinstatement removing the disqualification? What imputation issues are addressed by KLS?

Imputed disqualification and Rule 226.1.10(a) thru Rule 226.1.17:

Imputation of conflict of interest and imputed disqualification without some procedural form of screening of KLS attorneys for Rule 1.10(a) does not allow equal access to Kansas grant and donation benefits for legal representation and assistance for qualifying low income citizens.

Imputed disqualification is rebuttable, especially in large firms. The advancement in technology allows large firms opportunities to make screening and confidentiality walls practical and implementable. Isolation and structural divisions within KLS by procedures and dedicated computer servers could restrict the knowledge of material and confidential information.

In *Parker v. Volkswagenwerk Aktiengesellschaft*, 781 P.2d 1099 (Kan. 1989), the court focused not on whether it should presume access to information, but rather on whether there had been a showing that the attorney "had knowledge of material and confidential information." Also, *Graham v. Wyeth Labs*, 906 F.2d 1419 (10th Cir. 1990) (applying Kansas law).

For KLS this would appear to be prudent for ensuring more equal access to Kansas benefits. And, to prevent KLS discrimination and favoritism with the selection of applicants that are assisted.

KLS should have a process of internal attorney screening to allow equal access to Kansas benefits and prevent discrimination. Without a screening process, or ethical wall, when a potential conflict is identified with KLS, there is unequal access to legal assistance, representation, and Kansas benefits. The KLS attorneys should be pre-screened for these type of cases to allow low income qualified individuals equal access to assistance or representation, or this can easily allow KLS discrimination. The entire statewide KLS organization should have a form of screening to segregate some attorneys from creating an imputed disqualification. There must be procedure to prevent the propensity or appearance of discrimination and unequal access to benefits, and prevent the opportunity for KLS personnel to indiscriminately or selectively discriminate.

Rule 1.10 thru Rule 1.16 could allow KLS any form of discrimination, especially if imputation is undisclosed. Rule 1.10(a) and Rule 1.10(c) allows representation determined by the specifics of the disqualification. Without specifics of the case(s) and details of the significant risk within KLS, then personal discrimination could be very possible. Attorney limits and screening within KLS would allow more equal access to Kansas benefits for all qualifying seniors and limited or low income residents. Without which allows the probabilistic propensity and plausibility of KLS discrimination.

What policies and screening by KLS would and does permit reinstatement and removal of disqualification.

Remembering child victims that were never helped by an attorney or judge

“I remember the child who did not get to celebrated their protection order after years of living in fear.” Yes, I remember. I remember the face of my son. I remember my son's communicated horrid experiences. I remember my child's desperation - the panic that was and is so real to him and so unbelievable to me. I remember his fear, mostly based on the threats and abuse that had been hurled toward my son for years - I remember that it continued then, and continues now - with the likely assistance of KLS.

I remember the determination that my son was going to escape and live a childhood without the constant threat of violence, and without fear. I remember reading that there is research stating that one of the factors in survivors escaping their abusers was that they had the assistance of legal counsel. I remember my son and I were not allowed access to legal counsel by KLS and Judge Keven O'Grady for my son's protection from abuse.

I remember the women employed with KLS in the District Attorney's office that did everything they could to prevent assisting a minor abused native child from getting protection, and the KLS women told the father that he could NOT get a protection order for his son to end the abuse in the minor child's residential care. I remember the father's absolute disbelief that this could be a reality, that a father cannot protect his son with evidence of extreme child abuse.

My son is included in the ones who never got to celebrated their orders after years of living in fear. I remember the children who were murdered - I remember the crosses I placed in my memory to remind me to help all children who are not protected from abuse. Knowing that I would be persecuted by lawfare for my efforts to help Kansas children.

I remember the father who had a difficult time getting a protection order for his son, because their abuser was favored by nepotism, and discrimination against fathers. And, I remember the victim, my son, who did not get any protection or help from attorneys and judges. I remember fathers that are determined to be good parents to their children despite the obstacles and discriminatory systems they face.

I remember the fathers who knew the abusers and judges would harm their children or allow harm, just to vindictively get back at them - and feared for the children's safety every time the mother and attorneys coached the children to lie, and then the courts always believe the lies and would not help the children.

I remember one study that shows seven-one percent of child murders are by the mother - not the father or the grandparents. I remember the names of some of the children that died. I added Austin and Logan to my list.

I am determined to help my son and other children. My son's childhood in his current residential care has been, and still is, a nightmare because of probabilistic discrimination, child coaching, pathological lying, and unfair ineffective discriminatory courts. My son's childhood has been a nightmare in his current residential care, retained there by judges with the help of attorneys.

Grievance #19-04-01654

I remember my son crying when he was five years old because he did not want to return to his mother's residential care, and he begged his father then, and still today we pray for a miracle, to get help so he stays with his dad.

The expense to the Kansas tax payer is tens of thousands of dollars for just one child, my son. I believe after ten years the cost to the State of Kansas is at least one hundred thousand dollars for just one child. I do not think this public sector cares about the expense to taxpayers and wasted funds, or even cares about protecting children from abuse - all forms of abuse.

I fail to see and experience gender, race, and age fair courts. Why is the male, or father, presumed not the victim of violent crimes? When some statistics show there is only one category that men are not a greater percentage of the victims of violent crimes. One could believe the courts and KLS legal assistance is NOT gender, age, and ethnic fair. This is a serious issue of discrimination and not "justice for all" - it is inequality in KLS assistance and not fair courts.

One, and myself, wants to see the statics of the characteristic for KLS assistance with protection orders. What is the actual number of those protection orders that were not pathological lying attacks on fathers? Of the "victims" that were helped by KLS, how many were men, because men are a greater number of victims of violent crime. I think KLS assists predominantly women for specific real or alleged "false" accusations against men of all characteristics. Nearly every father going into a separation with children involved gets a protection order, most of them from the children's mother's lies. This happens with KLS attorneys assistance and support. Truth is not required for attorneys and the courts. Perjury, indirect perjury, false police reporting, and coaching of children is not stopped or prosecuted. KLS and the courts want to believe the lies are true, and therefore believe the lies - that fathers are bad people.

Of all of the law firms in the state, Kansas Legal Services, Inc. should be way above reproach. Any violation of Rules of Professionalism by their attorneys and employees should have immediate removal or discipline to correct. No irreproachable conduct should be allowed in any form. KLS attorneys should be moral and conduct their actions to the best of their profession.

Conclusion:

One more time. No one ever even bothered to find out why my son asked me to file a protection order, of which, KLS fervently tried to prevent, and then may have helped to influence Judge O'Grady to ignore the child abuse, and instantly dismiss the protection order to allow continued child abuse, which has and is occurring.

Will anyone in the State of Kansas help to protect my son and assist immediately to stop the child abuse that he is experiencing, and extract him from his mother's residential care without creating a HIGH risk of physical harm.

My son and I have no visitation, and no phone, email, or text communications. This is child abuse. A fatherless Kansas native minor child has been created by the judges and the State of Kansas. Read the statistics on fatherless children. The courts and attorneys create this child nightmare. I worry every day about my son being without his father. This is not good for my son, nor for any

Grievance #19-04-01654

other children being denied access to good fathers - and fatherless children are not good for the future of Kansas.

Please let me know how this can be fixed quickly. Every day now my son is fatherless and in an abusive environment because of Kansas judges, attorneys, and KLS assistance.

A temporary order for change of residential care is all that is immediately needed. Protection for my son with residential custody change is necessary to protect my son from the abuse, allow his safety, and improve all his child welfare concerns. The risk of child harm to my son immediately increases significantly when the residential custody changes. My son needs my protection and instant change of residential care. A slow change will not be a good idea. That is how many children get murdered - high risk of harm during residential custody change.

I am out of legal options to protect and care for my son. Additionally, Kansas has denied my son and I equal access to legal assistance for low income. I have no hope at this time. Both district judges are denying every motion I file in my attempts to protect my son. Every motion is instantly denied or stricken, with some objection, obstruction, or false reason. I need legal assistance to file more judicial complaints, another recusal with affidavit, change of venue, motion to remove case management (obstruction), Bar complaints, and re-file motion to set aside to correct the DCF support amount that is wrong. *And eventually, a motion for change of residential care for my son's protection in a court with a judge that cares about the welfare of Kansas children.*

This is lawfare and war of attrition against me. The judges and attorneys just hope I stop because of lack of time and money, or because of the threats, "railroaded" criminal charges from Todd, harassment, and the discrimination directed towards me and my son.

It is worthless for me to file anything in these judge's courts at this time. I currently cannot protect my son, cannot see or talk to my son, and I cannot be a good father, because of the disaster created in these Kansas districts.

Not everything you read from the courts and from some attorneys is accurate. I am amazed by some of which I hear and read in courts. Just because it spoken or written by a judge or attorney does not mean it is true. Lies are believed because one wants to believe the lies are true. That why fraud happens, you want to believe you will get forty percent interest. President Grant died broke because of a pyramid scheme. One could ask what lie one wants to believe, or what truth would one not want to believe.

Lies, perjury, or indirect perjury is prevalent in the courts only if it is allowed by the judges, district attorneys, and police. Either they do not care or they want to believe the lies. Some attorneys will do anything unscrupulous and harm children if they are not reprimanded by the courts.

Case numbers and personal information are redacted for my son's and my personal protection. If you have questions or need any documentation or files, I have documentation for most all cases including police video associated with specific cases. I do not have court transcripts of which could be requested to help investigate allegations and my grievance.

Grievance #19-04-01654

Thank you for reading my documentation. I do hope for any assistance for my son, myself, and for all Kansas children, parents, and caregivers in similar situations. It shall be presumed that the recipients of this document have read and understand it completely.

I will provide information to assist in understanding the many elements of my grievance, my concerns of discrimination, and of attorney involvement that allows child abuse in Kansas.

Sharing any emails, files, and case information is only permissible provided I am first notified of which cases and files, including emails, and the extent to which you wish to share the information. I fear addition personal harm.

It is agreed that the Grievance committee time guidelines are extended to insure adequate information, resources, and data to be secured for investigation, inquisition, and optimum results.

I hope the committee members are good persons, and these issues of discrimination, KLS concerns, and of Kansas children being abused is appropriately placed with you for the benefit of all Kansas citizens.

Again, thank you for all your considerations for Kansas children. Thank you for the time and resources to research and review my grievance for the benefit of all Kansas citizens, elderly, and low or limited income individuals requesting and needing KLS assistance.

Have a good day and be well.